अब यह तो सामान्य नीति है, पहले से बना रहें है तो हम उन को बंद नहीं कर देंगे । सामान्य नीति के तहत पूर्व से यह मैनुफैक्चर करने वाली नॉन एस.एस.आई. इकाइयों को "कैरी आन" बिजनेस लायसेंस दिया गया है, अतः आइसक्रीम को अभी कुछ नॉन एस.एस.आई. इकाइयों में भी तैयार किया जा रहा है, लेकिन जैसा मैनें कहा कि यह हमारे "कैरी आन" बिजनेस लायसेंस के तहत ही दिया गया है । दूसरे जो इन के मन में शंका है, शायद फ्रोजन डेस्सर्ट, ब्रुक बांड वगैरह के बारे में जो चर्चा चलती है, उस के बारे में मैं स्पष्ट करना चाहती हूं ….

श्रीमती सुषमा स्वराज : नहीं नहीं शंका नहीं है ।

श्रीमती कृष्णा साही : वह कुछ ऐसी बात नहीं है क्योंकि आज अखबारों में कुछ ऐसा आया है, इसलिए आप लोगों को भ्रम हुआ है ।

प्रधान मंत्री श्री पी.वी.नरसिंह रावः आप के मन में जो शंका है, उसको ऐटिसपेंट करने की कोशिश कर रही है, हमारी मंत्री महोदया ।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Quesiton No. 222 Shri Viren J. Shah.

SHRI BHUVENESH CHATUR VEDI: Sir.....

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: Sir. I think the Prime Minister should reply. Because the portfolio is held by the Minister and when the Prime Minister is present in the House, I would request the Prime Minister through you that he should reply to it.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Then how can the juniors get trained?

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: They can be trained in the other House...*(Interrruptions)*.... That is when the Prime Minsiter is not present in the House. When the Prime Minister is present in this House I would again request you the Prime Minister should reply.

SHRI VIREJ J. SHAH: I have no objection if the hon. Minister of State reads out the answer, but I am sure the supplementaries will be replied to by the Prime Minister.

Irregularities Alleged in Purchase of Equipments by Scientists in ISRO

*222. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI SATYA .PRAKASH MALAVIYA:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a report published in the 'Indian Express' of July 22,1995 under the heading, "Scientists rob ISRO of equipment worth crorers".

(b) if so, what are the facts and details in this regard;

(c) whether CBI has made any enquiry into the matter and it so, with what results;

(d) what is the present position of ISRO spy scandal; and

(e) whether the CBI has completed its enquiry and if not, how far the matter has progressed?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ATOMIC ENERGY AND SPACE AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI BHUVENESH CHATURVEDI): (a) to (e) A Statement is laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha.

Statement

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In June 1995, certain records of the Development and Educational Communication Unit (DECU) of Space Application Centre (SAC), Ahmedabad, were scrutinised, particularly in respect of certain materials such as cameras and lenses. A Preliminary Inquiry has disclosed a possible loss to the Department of Rs. 97,500/- by false documentation and repurchase of a Canon Zoom Lens. A few other camera equipments worth around Rs. 4.00 lakhs are also not im-

mediately traceable. Detailed investigations have been taken up in this regard.

(c) A preliminary enquiry report has been registered by CBI on 12.7.1995 and detailed enquiry is in progress.

(d) and (e) The CBI enquiry into the alleged ISRO Spy Scandal is still in progress and all out efforts are being made to finalise it at the earliest.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Sir, firstly I want to refer to only parts (d) and (e) of the question. A Starred Question, No. 230, was asked in the Rajya Sabha on 22nd December 1994—the hon. Ministry may make a note of it—and about part

(e) of that it was mentioned, "Information is being collected." Eight months have passed but, the information has not yet been made available to the Rajya Sabha. In this question on (d) and (e) I would refer to the reinstatement of an Inspector-General of Police, Mr. Srivasta-va, in Kerala, about whom the Kerala High Court had made specific comments, more than one observation, saying very clearly how his involvement was there. I know that the Supreme Court has removed some aspects, but not all, and yet the IGP has been reinstated. It is reported in the newspapers that in the Assembly the Chief Minister had said that he had no information, he had nothing to do with the reinstatement and this was done by the Government of India. May I know from the hon. Prime Minister whether he is aware not only of the Kerala High Court's observations which made very clear the involvement of this particular officer but also of the I.B. report, as one paper had said, that the man who has been married twice has been very choosy "about his friends and is known for cultivating selected people. I want to know whether that cultivation of selected people has resulted in his being reinstated and whether the Chief Minister of Kerala was counsulted in this matter and the reasons for reinstating this particular officer about whom there were grave doubts raised by the I.B.

SHRI BHUVENSH CHATURVEDI: It is correct that after the High Court strictures action was taken against the I.G. but after a few months the IG. approached the Administrative Tribunal and the Tribunal issued necessary instructions. On that decision he was reinstated.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Is the Tribunal higher than a High Court Division Bench consisting of the Chief Justice and a Judge of the High Court? I am quoting now Then the Bench said:

> "The report of the I.B. in -unmistakable terms found the involvement of Srivastava in the case. That finding seemed to have been ignored by the CBI primarily on the basis of alleged retraction made by the accused. The approach of the office of the CBI could not be approved."

This is what the Division Bench of the High court says:

> "The affidavit also seems to have ignored this aspect of the case."

Is the CAT above the Division Bench?

The matter is being looked into. It is *sub judice.* It is with the Supreme Court or tire High Court. The investigation is going on. It is the policy of the Government of India? This is part (b).

Part (a) is whether the CAT is above a Division Bench of a High Court.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: There is no comparison. The High Court has its own jurisdiction and the CAT has its own jurisdiction. Eight months after the judgement of the High Court the CBI took cognizance of the judgement and proceedings were started against him. But, during that period they approached the CAT and the CAT gave the judgement. It is the final judgement for the employee.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Is it a fact that the Chief Minister of Kerala was not taken into confidence at all? If so why?

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: This is not in my information.

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : माननीय सभापति जी, यह अंतरिक्ष अनुसंधान संगठन एक अत्यंत ही महत्वपूर्ण नवप्रतिनिष्ठत संगठन है । विक्रम साराभाई इसकी स्थापना से संबंधित रहें हैं । जून, 1995 से, जबसे यह मामला प्रकाश में आया, जिसमें झूठा दस्तावेज का मामला और कुछ सामान के गायब होने का मामला है, तभी से सी.बी.आई. जांच कर रही है । सी.बी.आई. ने 12 जुलाई, 1995 को अपनी प्रांरभिक रिपोर्ट भी दर्ज करा दी है । तो मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि प्रांरभिक जांच रपट के आधार पर कौन कौन लोग प्रथम दूष्टवा में आरोपी पाए गए हैं और उनके विरूद्ध क्या विभागीय कार्यवाही अभी तक की गई है ।

श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी : सर,इस क्वेश्चन में यह समस्या हो गई है कि दो क्वेश्चन को मिलाकर एक क्वेश्चन बना दिया गया है । अहमदाबाद का इक्यपमेंट खोने का अलग सवाल है और इसरो का .....(**व्यवधान**)

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय : मेरा सवाल तो केवल अहमदाबाद से संबधित है ।

श्री भुवनेश चतुर्वेदी : इसमें दी चीज शामिल हो गई है ।

tl That is why there is some difficulty in that but I am prepared to reply that question also. Six persons were identified on inquiry. After investigation by. the CBI they were released on bail but two foreign nationals who were not able to furnish the required documents and other things were not released. They are still under custody. All others have been released.

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: My question is also whether any employee was also involved and if so what departmental action has been taken against that employee.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: As I told you, the investigation is going on. I am not saying that the investigation has been completed. ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: I am not asking about the police investigation. ...*(Interruptions)*

My questions in about the departmental inquiry because some officials have also been involved, a *prima facie* case has been established and the CBI has chargesheeted them.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: I will read out all the names. Two Maldi-vean Nationals, two ISRO scientists, one agent of GlavsKosmos and one labour contractor of Bangalore. About the two scientists who are employees the investigation is going on. ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: No departmental action has been taken. The CBI investigation is going on but no departmental action has been taken. You kindly say "No"

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: I am saying that only after the investigation is complete and after clear directions that departmental action is taken.

SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL: The Hon. Minister has said that because of the order of the Administrative Tribunal reinstatement has been made of Shri Raman Srivastava Inspector-General of Police of Kerala who was found to have been involved in the ISRO spy case, not only by the Crime Branch of the Kerala Police but also by the Central IB and the RAW. All the Political parties and the people of Kerala do believe his involvement.

In this background, I would like to know why the Government has not taken the matter in appeal to the Supreme Court against the order of the Tribunal. I would like to know if the Government has taken the matter in appeal to the Tribunal, because here is a case where the Division Bench, the IB, the RAW ana[1] the State Crime Branch, all of them have found that-this Inspector-General of Police is involved and only because he has a very strong hold on the higher-ups

that he has been released, This is the feeling in Kerala. All the political parties are up in arms against this thing. Therefore, I would like to know whether the Government has thought it proper to take the matter in appeal to the Supreme Court on the verdict given by the CAT.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: My submission is because the CBI could not find any corraboiatory evidence, therefore, they did not go in appeal.

SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL: The Government has not taken the matter in appeal, because they have no knowledge of all these things.

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: The Government will take its action on the basis of the investigations.

SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL: When the investigation is incomplete, why did you reinstate him?

SHRI BHUVNESH CHATURVEDI: They have been released on bail. Everybody has been released on bail.

SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL: Even though the investigation is still going on, he had been reinstated.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: The IB Re-port is there. The Division Bench has said that the Government will go on the basis of the CBI Report. Will they look at the IB Report also?

SHRI E. BALANANDAN: In the reply to the questions (b) and (c), it is stated that the CBI inquiry into the alleged ISRO spy scandal is still in progress and all-out efforts are being made to finalise it at the earliest. May I put a question to the Prime Minister as to why these concerned officers has been allowed to go on bail even before the total inquiry is completed? They are powerful people and can influence the evidence and manipulate it. In the circumstances, what was the reason for the Government to decide that these people may be given bail and the Officer concerned is given duties in spite of the fact that the inquiry is still going on and it is incomplete?

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI P.V. NARASIMHA RAO): Sir, these are administrative matters. I do not have the file with me. I have n«t seen for what reason a person has been reinstated. I can certainly get all this information and pass it on to the hon. Member. But to presume that someone higher-up is defending him or supporting him is not proper. It is better to avoid all this. They are all senior Members.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Now, Sir, the Prime Minister has agreed to look into the files, will he also look into the IB Report and the comments of the Kerala Bench?

SHRI P.V. NARASIMHA RAO: Yes. Any Member, who wants to be taken into confidence I am prepared to take him into confidence. There is nothing for anyone to hide.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: It is true that the High Court Division Bench was a perverse Bench. But the Supreme Court said that this was an untimely and unwarranted observation of the High Court and it expunged all the remarks made by the High Court. *(Interruptions)* Yes, the Supreme Court has expunged all the remarks made by the High Court and the Supreme Court has said that its observation was untimely and unwarranted.

Anyhow, on the CBI inquiry I want to know from the hon. Minister when it will be complete and the whole thing will come out.

SHRI P.V. NARASIMHA RAO: Sir, it is impossible to say when the CBI is going to complete the inquiry, but I can say the CBI will complete the Inquiry as quickly as possible. They are not interested in delaying it. Nor are we interested in getting it delayed. This is the only assurance I can give. That is why I said these are all administrative matters.

On the question of what the Supreme Court has said and what the High Court has said, I will look into these things. If anyone wants to know more details about the case, I am prepared to give him.

इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी के विकास के लिए आबंटन

*223. **श्री राजूभाई ए. परमार** : क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) चालू वर्ष के दौरान तथा आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजनावधि में इलेक्ट्रॉनिक के विकास के लिए कुल कितनी धनराशि आबंटित की गई,

(ख) इन आंबटन में गुजरात का कितना हिस्सा है,

(ग) गुजरात में चालू वर्ष तथा आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना की शेष अवधि के दौरान चालू की गई परियोजनाओं का ब्यौरा क्या है, और

(घ) गुजरात हेतु इस क्षेत्र में स्वीकृत तथा गैर-सरकारी निवेश के प्रस्तावों का ब्यौरा क्या है?

रसायन एंव उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री, संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा इलेक्ट्रॉनिक विभाग तथा महासागर विकास विभागों में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एडुआर्डो फेलेरियो):

(क) से (घ) एक विवरण पत्र राज्य सभा के पटल पर रख दिया गया है।

विवरण

(क) इलेक्ट्रॉनिक के विकास के लिए चालू वर्ष तथा आठवीं योजना के दौरान केंद्रीय सरकार का परिव्यय नीचे दिए अनुसार है :-

अवधि	परिव्यय
1995-96 (सकल बजटीय सहायता)	147 करोड़ रूपए
आठवीं योजना	588 करोड़ रूपए

(ख) इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी के क्षेत्र में इस केन्द्रीय परिव्यय का राज्यवार कोई विशिष्ट आबंटन नहीं है। इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी विभाग इस केन्द्रीय परिव्यय से अपनी विभिन्न परियोजनाओं/ कार्यक्रमों के स्त्रोतों से, इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी उद्योग की आवश्यकताओं के आधार पर आंबटन करता है, जिसका निर्धारण विभिन्न परिषदों तथा समितियों द्वारा किया जाता है। इस प्रकार की परियोजनाएं तथा कार्यक्रम विशिष्ट प्रौद्योगिकी अथवा जनशक्ति के विकास के लिए मूल संरचनात्मक सुविधाओं की स्थापना करने वाले या प्रायोजित परियोजनाओं की प्रकृति के होते हैं।

(ग) आठवीं योजना के दौरान गुजरात में अब तक इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी विभाग से सहायता प्राप्त परियोजनाओं / कार्यक्रमों का ब्यौरा विवरण-I में दिया गया है।

(घ) वर्ष 1992-95 की अवधि के दौरान खाली वीडियो, कैसेट, पीसी बोर्ड, कम्पैक्ट डिक्स तथा कम्पैक्ट डिस्क ड्राइव और इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी हार्डवेयर प्रौद्योगिकी पार्क (ईएचटीपी) तथा सॉफटवेयर प्रौद्योगिकी पार्क (एसटीपी) योजनाओं और घरेलु क्षेत्र तथा शतप्रतिशत निर्यात उन्मुखी इकाई (ईओयू) योजना के अंतर्गत सॉफटवेयर का विकास करने के लिए गुजरात राज्य में इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी प्रत्यक्ष के लिए 7 प्रस्ताव अनुमोदित किए गए, जिनमें प्रत्यक्ष विदेशी पूंजीनिवेश शामिल है।

विवरण-I

क्रम. सं.	परियोजना/ कार्यक्रम
मूल संरचानात्मक सुविधाओं से संबंधित	
1.	साफॅटवेयर प्रौद्योगिकी पार्क, गांधी नगर
2.	इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी परीक्षण तथा विकास केन्द्र, बड़ौदा
प्रायोजित प्रौद्योगिक विकास परियोजाएं	
3.	कम लागत वाले डॉप्पलर वीएचएफ सोनी रेंज (डीवीओआर) तथा पारम्परिकी वीओआर (सील वीओआर) प्रणाली का विकास गुजरात संचार एंव इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी लि. (जीसीईएल), बड़ौदा
4.	कृषक सूचना प्रणाली प्रोटोटाइप का विकास चरण-I इंस्टीट्यूट फार स्टीडीज़ एंड ट्रांस्फारॅमेशन, अहमदाबाद
5.	अंकीय प्रतिबिम्ब संसाधन तकनीक के माध्यम से सूती तन्तु जाल विश्लेषक का विकास, अटीरा, अहमदाबाद
6.	पटसन यंत्रीकरण कार्यक्रम, अटीरा, अहमदाबाद
7.	वस्त्र यंत्रीकरण कार्यक्रम, अहमदाबाद वस्त्र एंव उद्योग अनुसंधान संघ (अटीरा), अहमदाबाद